G. R. S. 59]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

1904

(Mazhai)

File No. 43

Serial Nos. 1 — 8

Papers Filed.

Month March 1908

SUBJECT.

(Re. Property left by one Tangavelu alias by means a will on Condition that the income realised thereupon, should be utilised for the Rathosavam of Sri Venkata Ramana Swami Temple & newly Consecrated Vigneswara Temple by him at Alamizeri Village in the Chingleput Taluk.

PREVIOUS REFERENCES. LATER REFERENCES.

MUZRAI SECRETARIAT

OFFICE NOTE.

File No.

SUBJECT.

Property left by one Jangamiah, a Banajigar resident of Vaddahalli, Chintamani Taluk

From

The Deputy Commissioner, Kolar District

No. 3464/345 Dated 2nd January 1905.

In the letter cited above, the Deputy Commissioner reports that one Jangamiah, a Banajigar resident of Vaddahalli bequeathed all his property by means of a will (which has been subsequently registered), on condition that the income realised therefrom should be utilised for the Rathotsavams of the Sri Venkataramanaswami, and of the Vigneswara newly consecrated by him at Alamgur, Chintamani Taluk. The property thus bequeathed consists of moveable property of the estimated value

MGCPB—500—21-1-05

~~of Rs~~

of Rs 172-13-9, besides the sum of Rs 142-2-6, being the sale proceeds of ragi and other perishable articles belonging to him, and of immoveable property comprising a house of 30 ankanams, 3 vacant sites and 4 survey nos measuring 4 acres and 31 guntas and assessed at Rs 10-8-0. The testator desires these amounts ~~being~~ to be deposited in the Govt Savings Bank and the interest accruing thereon to be utilised for the purposes indicated above, and that a Committee consisting of 5 Panchayatdars chosen from different castes may be appointed to supervise ~~on~~ the conduct of these services.

2. The testator has left no issue except an only daughter, Chennamma by name. She now claims her father's property on the strength of a document alleged to have been executed by him in her favor in the year 1894. As she was, however directed to establish her right through the Civil Court, she has filed a suit in forma pauperis ~~in the~~ in the matter in

Muzrai Secretariat. File No. of 190

the Munsiff's Court at Kolar.

3. In reporting the above, the Deputy Commissioner states that as it was necessary to defend the suit at the cost of the Muzrai Department in the interests of the temple, a sum of Rs 25/- has been ordered by him to be advanced out of the amount belonging to Jangamvah and deposited in the Savings Bank, for meeting the Court costs, and requests that the action taken by him in the matter may be ratified by Govt.

Submitted with a draft.

To the Dewan
Through the 2nd Councillor

Ghantasalam Rao
29/1/05

Reed,
30-1-05

No
247

I agree with the draft.
31/1/05

Rec'd
31/1/05
4535
2.2.05

Ch 1/2/05

Appd by the Dewan
Ch 8/2/05

Muzrai Secretariat. File No. of 190

MGCPB—3 500—15-10-01

Muz. 8A]

Muzrai Secretariat. File No. of 190

Note continued.

The ~~approved~~ draft approving of the Deputy Commissioner's action was issued. The latter Officer now reports that the suit filed by Chennamma in forma pauperis was allowed to be withdrawn as the Archak of the Sri Venkataramana swami temple who was made the only defendant in the suit pleaded that the properties bequeathed by the Plaintiff's father Jangamiah were not in his possession, and she was allowed to file a fresh suit including the Deputy Commissioner also as the Defendant, on behalf of Govt under whose management the said properties were brought in conformity with the terms of the will.

The Deputy Commissioner further states that the suit has been filed by her making him as the 1st and the Archak

as the 2nd defendant, that summons was served on him on the 26th October last to appear for the hearing which had been posted to the 30th idem, but that as he had only a very short interval of time he had requested the District Munsiff to adjourn the hearing to a distant date so as to enable him to obtain Govt sanction to defend the suit and that therefore the suit has been posted for hearing on the 10th January 1906.

Out of the sum of Rs 25/- sanctioned in Govt Pro: No 2924, dated ~~2nd December~~ 10th February 1905 as advance for defending the 1st suit, the Deputy Commissioner says that in case the sum of Rs 10-8-3 incurred by him as expenditure for defending the suit be recovered from the Plaintiff, the/a/ net cost of defending the suit will amount to Rs 8-7-9; and he requests Govt sanction

Muz. 8A]

Muzrai Secretariat. File No. of 190

to defend the present suit which is estimated to cost Rs 40/- from the amount ~~of~~ belonging to Jangamah and deposited in the Savings Bank

Submitted with a draft.

12/12/05

The defence of this case by Govt having been already approved by the Dewan and the Councillor, the further amount now asked for may be sanctioned

Issue the draft.

Camp Aldur 13/12/05

File No. of 190 Muzrai Secretariat.

MGCPB—2,500—1-8-05.

Muz. 8A]

Muzrai Secretariat. File No. of 190

(Note Continued)

In Govt Pro no 2703 dated 19 December 1905 orders were issued for defending the suit & the Dy: Commr was requested to report the result of the suit filed ~~by~~ in the Kolar Munsiffs' Court & by Chennamma daughter of Jangamiah of Vaddahalli Chintamani Taluk claiming her father's property which was dedicated by him to the Sri Venkataramanaswami temple at Alamgiri in the Chintamani Taluk under a will executed by him.

The Deputy Commr now reports that the suit was decided against the Govt as it was found that the will under which the property was bequeathed

for the maintenance of the temple was proved not to be a genuine document. He further states that the pleader who conducted the case for the Govt. (Mr C.B. Gopalrow) is of opinion that there are no good grounds for appeal & that the decision of the munsiff being final the property will have to be delivered back to the person in whose favour the case has been decided. As the decree holder has obtained a warrant for the delivery of the property from the munsiff's court, Kolar the Deputy Comm. requests that he may be allowed to redeliver the property in pursuance of the warrant.

(2) The Dy: Comm. has sent up the bill furnished by the pleader Mr C.B. Gopalrow

MGCPB—2,500—1-8-05.

Muz. 8A]

Muzrai Secretariat. File No. of 190

The total cost of the litigation comes to Rs 80-5-0 as will be seen from the bill. Out of this amount a sum of Rs 50/- has already been paid to Mr C.B. Gopalrow. The Deputy Comm^r suggests that the balance viz Rs ~~56-4-2~~ 30-5-0 may be paid out of Rs 56-4-2 which is reported by him to be available at the credit of the Institution.

~~Submitted with a Draft~~

Under the circumstances reported there seems to be no other go but to pay off the balance of the cost of the suit and to direct the delivery of the properties now under Govt charge to the party in whom the Court has decreed. Subtd with a Draft to the 2nd Councillor

Approved 27/3/08 3/88 3584 Subtd 24-3-08

27/3/08

Khuntasawmy 28/3/08

GPB—3,000—22-6 06

File No. of 190 Muzrai Secretariat.

QA 2498

From

K P Pattamma Chetty Esq
Deputy Commissioner
Kolar District

To

L Anantarama Rao Esq Bt
Secretary to the Govt of
Mysore, Mazrai Dept.

Dated 2nd January 1905

Despatched

Received

General No. 3464
No. 345

Enclosure No. 2

Reporting the action taken in the matter of property left by one Jangamaiah a Banajiga resident of Vaddahalli Village Chintamani Taluk

QA

6/1/05

QA

Shows submit with a note at once

Ls
7/1/05

Deputy Commissioner's Office
Kolar District
Dated 2nd January 1905

From

M. P. Puttanna Chetty Esqr.
Deputy Commissioner
Kolar District

To

L. Anantasamy Rao Esqr. B.A.
Secretary to the Government
of Mysore, Muzrai Departt.
Bangalore —

Sir,

I have the honor to report that one Jangamiah, a Banajigar resident of Vaddahalli Village in the Kyvara Hobli of the Chintamani Taluk, had built a chattram for the use of travellers, in front of the temple of Sree Venkataramana Swamy at Alangiri of the same Taluk.

2 When the Amildar Mr. B. Ramaswamiah had encamped at Alangiri

Alangiri on 14th August 1902, Jangamiah appears to have expressed a desire to make over all his property to the temple for performing certain Specified Services. Subsequently, when the Police Superintendent and the Amildar inspected Vaddahally Village on 1st December 1902 in connection with the out break of Plague, Jangamiah is said to have repeated his desire in the presence of the above Officers to make over all his property to the Alangiri temple as stated in para 3 of the Amildar's report No. 117 dated 18th August 1903.

3. Accordingly, the Amildar sent word to Vasudevachar, Archak of the temple, who came to Vaddahally on 7th December 1902, by

by which time Jangamiah was attacked with Plague. As he thought that he would not live longer he executed a will on the same day in the presence of the Police Deffedar, Patel and some respectable ryots of the Village giving over all his moveable and immoveable property in favor of the temple, for the due performance of certain Services to be rendered thereat, and at the same time requesting Government to appoint 5 panchayatdars of different castes for the supervision and management of his property.

The will further recites that the income realized out of his property should be deposited in the Savings Bank and the interest accruing therefrom utilized for the annual Rathoschavam

of

of Vighneswara devaru, consecrated by him, and the surplus if any, appropriated for the Rathotsavam &c of Sree Venkataramanaswamy.

4. The will was presented by the Archik before the Sub-registrar for registration on 23rd January 1903 and it was subsequently registered on 30th June 1903 as per orders issued from this Office.

5. Jangamiah died on 15th December 1902, i-e, 6 days after the execution of the will. He left no issues except his only daughter by name Chennammah. It would appear that she is married and living with her husband at Bijapur in the same Taluk. She came to Vaddahally to see her dying father and

and remained with him. After the death of her father, she petitioned this Office and the Amildar claiming the property on the strength of a document alleged to be a will executed by her father in 1894, in which all his property had been conceded to her. The Amildar in para 7 of his report gave satisfactory :- reasons to disbelieve the genuine- -ness of the will of 1894, in as much as a subsequent will had been executed by the donor.

6. The matter was reported to my predecessor who passed orders to take the property under the management of Government and to have a correct list of the property of the deceased made out. He referred the claimants to

establish

establish their title to the property in the civil court by disproving the will drawn up at the time of his death. Copy of order is enclosed for information.

7. A Sum of Rs 142-2-6 has been realized by the sale of Ragi and other perishable articles of the deceased and the same held in Savings Bank Deposit. A list showing moveable and immoveable property of the deceased Jangamiah is enclosed. The Value of the moveable property is estimated at Rs 172 — A 13 — P 9. The immoveable property consists of a house of 30 ankanams and 3 Vacant sites and 4 survey numbers measuring A — G 4 — 31 assessed at Rs 10-8-a

8. The properties above referred to have been entrusted to respectable panchayatdars of the village for safe custody and supervision

9. Chennammal has now filed a suit in forma pauperis in the Idol or Immaiffé court against the Archik of the temple for the declaration of her right to her father's property. As it was necessary to defend the suit at the cost of the Inzrai Department in the interest of the temple, a sum of Rs 25/- has been ordered to be advanced out of the amount held under Savings Bank Deposit for meeting the court costs on the case. The case is now proceeding and the result of the suit will

will be reported in due course
I beg that action taken in the
matter may be kindly notified
I have the honor to be,
Sir,
your most obedient servant,
W. M. Cunningham
Deputy Commissioner
Kolar District

List showing the movable and immovable property left by Tangamiah of Valvahalli in Chundanani Taluk.

	Kind	Description of vessels &c	Probable weight	Probable Value.	Rupees	Remarks.
		1- Movable property				
1	Brass.	2 Adika Thattu (dish)	2 Seers		0 6 0	
2	Do.	1 cup	1 Seer		0 3 0	
3	Do.	1 Thappala holding 2½ Seers.	12 Seers		2 4 0	
4	Do	Do holding ¾ Seer.	4 Seers		0 1 0	
5	Do	1 Bucket	11 "		0 0	
6	Do.	1 Chambu	3¼ "		0 1 0	
7	Do.	1 Dabara (Basin)	2 "		0 0	
8	Do.	1 Do Lind with lead.	10 "		1 0	
9	Do.	1 Thappala holding 1½ seer.	6 "		1 2 0	
10	Do.	1 Chambu	5 "		0 1 0	
11	Do.	1 Spoon	1½ "		0 5 0	
12	Iron.	1 Hanchu. (a plate)			0 0	
13	Silver.	1 ~~Bird~~ Hand ornament	2 Tolas		1 6 0	
14	Do.	Minchu (Leg finger ring)	1½ "		1 0	
15	Do.	one bar.	4 "		0 0	
16.	Zinc	A Small glass box.			0 0	
17.	Horn.	Tiger nails			0 0 3	
		Clothes				
18	Silk	Silk red magata.			6. 0 0	
19		Lack bordered cloth			0 6 0	
20.	Sariga	White Turban			2 0	
21	"	Silk bordered cloth			0 0	
22	"	White old Silk bordered dothra			1 0 0	
23	"	Fibre Magata.			0 4 0	
24		Red Shawl			3. 0 0	

		Domestic animals	Tolas.	28	19	3
25		Black ox.		30	0	0
26.		White matti Ekown ox		3	0	0
27.		White colowred cow.		15	0	0.
28		Do. Small. (Straight horned)		10	0	0
29.	Silver.	one armled pair 1.	Tolas 12.	6	0	0
30.		Kangati	4	2	12	0
31.		Kalcadaga two	8.	6	0	0.
32.		one Silver zone.	5	2	0	0.
33.	Gold.	1 Gold muru	Varalu 3/4.	4	0	0
34.	Silver.	Jada Kuspa.	1	0	12	0
35	Do.	Savanthiga billa.	4.	0	6	0
36.	Do.	1 dish with Arasina cup.		1	0	0.
37.	Silver	1 Silver Thalaru. two		1	2	0
38.	Silver	1 ring		0	4	0
39.	ather	1 Dabba.		0	12	0
40.		1 White gajina gooru		0	1	0.
41.		one old Small pistol.		0	8	0
42		one Canvas box (torn)		0	2	0
43	Braso.	1/4 sheer 1 Thappala holding 1/4 seer	3 Seers.	0	6	0
44.	Do.	Do.	1/4 Seer. 2.	0	4	0
45	Do.	1 dish.	4	0	15	0
46.	Copper.	1 Bindiga.	8 Srs.	2	0	0
47.	Braso	Chambu two	5.	0	12	0
48	Do.	1 Scale with weights		1	0	0
49	Do	Small panchapattas	1	0	3	0
50.	1 + Brass 2	panchapattas 3		0	9	0.
51.	Do.	Spoons 3.		0	2	0
52	Iron.	Bindiga.		0	2	0
53.	Do	Iron Spates 2		0	12	0
				129	6	3

4.	Iron	Motchukatti 1		0	3	0
5	Do	Axe 3.		0	8	0
56	Iron	Small bachi		0	4	0
57	Iron	Small Saw		0	6	0.
58	Brass	Small Bells.		0	2	0
59.	Brass.	a cup.		0	1	0
60	Do.	Lamp stand Small.		0	0	6.
61	Iron.	Measures for weighing ghee.		0	2	0.
62.		Vanike. 2.		0	3	0.
3.		Chakra bandi		0	2	0
4.	Iron.	Shoval		0	2	0.
5	Wood.	1 Tamboor.		2	0	0.
66	Do.	1 deal wood box		0	6	0
67	Do.	Do. Wood en box.		0	2	0.
68	Do.	Do.		0	1	0.
69.	Do.	one old pillow		0	2	0.
70.		Small planks. 2		0	2	0
71.	Iron.	Yiligamana.		0	1	0.
72	Gold	Bugadi		11	0	0
73	Silver	Dabu.	8 Tolas.	5	8	0
74.	Gold.	ola.	8 Varahas.	16	0	0.
75	Gold	1 Sara with Sleeves neats.		16	0	0.
				~~178~~	12	9
		Total Immoveables		172	13	9.

S. O. T. D.

II (A) Immovable properties

No.	Area			Remarks.
1	42	3	7 8 0	The value of lands is as at about Rs
2	85 do	0 25	1 4 0	
3	78	0 4	0 8 0	
4	4	0 20	1 4 0	
		4 31	10 8 0	

(B) Buildings.

1 Vacant sites 3 Kandayam 2-0-0

1. House 30 Ankanams.

Dt. 15-11-04.

s/ B V Velu
Amuldas

"Copy"

W M Hewlett
Deputy Commissioner

Memo

On reading the Petitions of Channamma of Vaddahally, Chintamani Taluk, claiming the property of her deceased father Jangamiah and the reports with records of the Asst. Commissioner, and the Amildar of Chintamani, it is found that the deceased Jangamiah has made an endowment of the whole of his property to the temple of Sree Venkataramana Swami at Alamgiri, Chintamani Taluk, by a duly executed will, registered also after his death. From the records, it is evident that the donor of the property had been contemplating this endowment from a long time and besides executing sundry repairs to the temple has also consecrated the deity of Vigneswara in the said temple and has made provision in the will for the annual Archavan

of this newly consecrated diety
as well as the principal God of the
temple. These incidents clearly testify
to the fact that the will was
executed Voluntarily and when
the testator was in possession of
his full faculties and is therefore
unimpeachable. The claims of the
daughter, if any, have to be established
in a proper civil court by disproving
the will. This office is not therefore
competent to go into the question of
legality or otherwise of the will and
also the claims of the heirs of the
deceased. The sale proceeds of the
perishable property of the deceased
already sold will be deposited in
the Mysore Government Savings bank
and a list of the whole property
submitted to the Secretary Muzrai
Department with recommendation
for the appointment of 5 panchayet-
dars of different castes as per
wish of the testator. As a Schedule
of

of property of the deceased has not been attached to the will during the life-time of the testator, such of the property as is not perishable will be deposited in the Taluk office and a notice will be served on the immediate heirs and also published in the Mysore Gazette fixing a period of 6 months within which the claimants to any of the property now in custody of Govern-ment may establish their rights in a competent civil court. The immoveable property will be immedia-ly taken over under Government management and entrusted to the Punchayet dars when they are appointed.

"Sd" H. C. Can
Deputy Commissioner
Kolar District

Copy

H. H. Nethercompett
Deputy Commissioner
Kolar District

Murzai

Read:

Letter no. 3464/345, dated 2nd January 1905, from the Deputy Commissioner, Kolar District, reporting that one Jangamiah, a resident of Vaddahalli, Chintamani Taluk, has bequeathed his property, both moveable and immoveable, to the Sri Venkataramanaswami temple and the ~~Anjaneyadevu~~ Vigneswara at Alangiri, in the said Taluk, for certain services to be rendered therein, and that the properties have been taken over under Govt management, but that the daughter of Jangamiah, Chennamma by name, having feled a suit in the matter in forma pauperis claiming her father's property, he has ordered that an advance of

Rs 25/- may be drawn from the amount held in the Savings Bank in the name of Jangamiah, for the purpose of defending the suit

— " —

Order thereon

No 2926 Dt 10-2-05.

The Govt approve of the action taken by the Deputy Commissioner.

2. The promised report regarding the result of the suit, should be submitted to Govt, as soon as the case is decided

6.11.05

8/2/05

Secretary,
Muz: Deptt

To

The Deputy Commr Kolar D

8-2-05

8/2/05

8/2/05

From The Deputy Commr

To The Munsiff Secretary
to the Court of Mysore

Dated 2-12-05

Despatched 2-12-05.

Received

General No. 4747

No. 305

Enclosure No.

Requesting Vakalath to defend
the suit brought by Chamanna
daughter of Jangama, vide i
Munsiff's Court.

Refer

G.K. Please entrust the note
to Subordinate Pardes

4/12/05

Kolar Deputy Commissioner's
Office, dated 2nd December 1905.

From,

K. P. Puttanna Chetty Esquire,
Deputy Commissioner,
Kolar District.

To.

L. Anantasami Rao Esquire B. A.
Secretary to the Government of
Mysore, Muzrai Department,
Bangalore.

Sir,

With reference to para 2 of Government Proceedings No: 2924 dated 10th February 1905, requesting me to report the result of the suit filed in the Civil Court, by Channamma daughter of the deceased Jangamiah claiming her father's property which was endowed by him in favor of Alamgiri Venkataramanaswami Temple, in the Chintamani Taluk, I have the honor to state that the suit filed in the

the Civil Court in form a pauperis was admitted and the Archak was made the defendant. As the Archak pleaded that he had not the property in his possession, the suit was allowed to be withdrawn in order to file a fresh suit including the Deputy Commissioner as defendant on behalf of Government, under whose management the property had been brought in conformity with the terms of the will.

2. Thereupon Lakshmamma has filed a fresh suit including both the Archak and the Deputy Commissioner as 1st and 2nd defendants respectively. This fresh suit being admitted, summons was served on me on the 26th October last and the case had been posted for hearing

hearing on the 30th October. As the date of hearing was too near, the Munsiff was requested to adjourn it to a distant date so that I may obtain Government sanction to de-fend the suit. The case has now been posted to 10th January 1906.

3. Out of Rs. 142-2-6, being the sale proceeds of the deceased Jangamiah's perishable property deposited in the Savings Bank, a sum of Rs. 25/-, as already reported in this office letter No: 3464/345 of 2nd January 1905, was advanced to meet the Court cost in the ~~former~~ first case which has been with-drawn. A sum of Rs. 19/- was spent out of this amount towards the ex-penses of Lawyer's fees &c in that case leaving a balance of Rs. 6/- with the Amildar. The defendant's cost of Rs. 10-8-3, was ordered to be recovered

recovered from the plaintiff in the said suit. If this amount is recovered, the net cost to Government for defending the 1st suit would come to Rs. 8-7-9

4. I request that Government may be pleased to sanction the defending of the present suit from the amount available in the Savings Bank as detailed above. The estimated cost for defending this suit is Rs. 40/-.

5. I solicit early instructions in the matter.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your Most Obedient Servant

K. M. Cunningham
Deputy Commissioner
Kolar District

SIII

Mysore

Read:-

Govt. Pro: No. 2924 dated 10th February 1905, sanctioning an advance of Rs 25/- for defending the suit preferred by Chennamma, daughter of Ganjam Gunniah of Vaddahalli for the recovery of the property bequeathed by her father for the benefit of the Sri Venkataramana Swami and the Sri Vigneswara temples at Alangiri in the Chintamani Taluk.

And,

Letter No. 4747/305, dated 2nd December 1905, from the Deputy Commissioner, Kolar District, reporting on the above.

No. 2703 A/19.12.05.

Order thereon:-

In the letter read above, the Deputy Commissioner

that the suit for defending which a sum of Rs 25/- ~~to~~ had been sanctd. in the Govt. P.o: No. 2924 of the 18th February 1905, read above, was allowed to be withdrawn by the said Chennamma as the Archak of the temples who was made the only defendant in the suit, was not in possession of the property of Jangamiah, and that she has therefore filed a fresh suit including the Deputy Commissioner also, under whose management the properties were brought in conformity with the terms of the will, as Defendant on behalf of Govt. He further states that the case has been posted for hearing on the 10th January 1906, and requests that sanction may be accorded to him to defend the second suit and to meet the estimated cost of Rs 40/- therefor from the sum of Rs 142.2.6 realized as sale proceeds

of the perishable articles left by the testator and invested in the Govt Savings Bank

2. The Deputy Commissioners proposal is sanctioned. He is requested to report the result of the suit as well as the actual expenses incurred therefor for the information

3. Information should be immediately submitted as to whether any and what savings are at the credit of the temple in question

Secretary, 13/12/05

Camp Salem

Mazrai Deptt

To 12/12/05

The Deputy Commissioner

Kolar District

5759

OFFICE OF THE PRIVATE SECRETARY TO
HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE.

The Palace Mysore 20-6-1907

No. 3253
/

Petition as shown below, to His Highness the Maharaja, is transferred to the Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore, Mysore Department, Bangalore, for disposal.

No. 1588 of 06-07

By Order,

I. C. S.
Private Secretary
to H. H. The Maharajah of Mysore.

Muz. 3]

GOVT. OF H. H. THE MAHARAJA
OF MYSORE.

MUZRAI DEPARTMENT.

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

No.

The accompanying ~~Petition~~ memorial No. 1586/5459
dated 24th June 1907 addressed to H.H. the maharaja ~~received at this Office from~~ by Dandiganala Sivarama Sastry of Alangur, Chintamani Taluk, complaining of the non-conduct of services in the Sri Venkataramana-swamy temple and the place etc

is forwarded, ~~together with its enclosures,~~ to the Deputy Commr enquiring & Kolar District, for disposal

By Order,

Dd) 12/7/07

Secretary,
Muzrai Department.

BANGALORE,
24th 1907.

6/7/07 J.D.402

Not. to the pet.



Report
14/3/08

1908 1

From
C. Srikanteswara Aiyar Esquire B.A.
Deputy Commissioner

To
Kolar District

C. Anantaswami Iyer Esquire B.A.
Secretary to Government
Dated
Mulkai Department
Despatched
Bangalore
Received 12. 3. 1908

General No. 5669
No. 259

Enclosure No. 1 & 4

No: 5669/259

Office of the Deputy Commissioner
Kolar District

Dated Kolar the 12th March 1908

From

C. Srikanteswara Aiyar Esquire
B.A.B.L
Deputy Commissioner
Kolar district

To

L. Anantaswami Rau Esquire B.A.
Secretary to Government
Revenue Department

Sir,

With reference to Govt. Proceedings no 2703 dated 13/19th December 1905, calling for report as to the result of the Suit filed in the Munsiff's Court, Kolar by Chennamma daughter of Gangamiah of Vaddahalli, Chintamani Taluk since

since deceased, claiming
her fathers' property which
was dedicated by him to
Sri Venkatramanaswami
temple at Alangiri in the
Chintamani Taluk, under
a will, I have the honor
to submit herewith
copy of the decree and
the bill of Costs in the
said suit, received
from Mr C.B. Gopala Rau
who was engaged to
defend the suit

2. The suit was decided
against the Government,
as it was found that
the will under which
the property was bequeathed

for

for the maintenance of the temple and upon the strength of which the Deputy Commissioner had entered upon its possession not a genuine document.

The pleader who conducted the case for the Government (Mr. C.B. Gopalrao) is of opinion that there are no good grounds for appeal. The decision of the Munsiff being final, the property will have to be delivered back to the person in whose favour the case has been decided.

The decree-holder

too has obtained a
warrant for the delivery
of the property from
the Munsiffs' Court
Idalur. I beg therefore
that I may be allowed
to redeliver the
property in pursuance
of the warrant

3. The total Cost of the
litigation comes to Rs 80-5-0
as may be seen from
the bill of Mr. C.B. Gopalrau.
out of this amount a sum
of Rs 50, has already been
paid to Mr Gopala Rau.
The balance may be
met out of Rs 56-4-2.

Which

which is the balance at
the credit of the Institution
4. Vernacular
Correspondence ending
with Chikballapur,
Amildar's No: 124 dated
9.12.1907, together with
the notice received
from the Munsiff
of Kolar is herewith
submitted for kind Reference.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedient servant

K Venugopal Iyer
for Deputy Commissioner
&c. Kolar Division

In Uttrai 17

28/3

Read:— Govt. Pro. no 2703 dated 19 December 1905 sanctioning the Kolar Dy: Commr's proposal for ~~instituting~~ defending the second suit preferred by Chennamma daughter of Jangamiah of Vadda-halli for the recovery of the property bequeathed by her father for the benefit of the Sri Venkata-ramanaswami and the Sri Vigneswara temples at Alamgiri in the Chintamani Taluk

Letter no 5669 dy 259
12 March 1908, from the Dy. Commr. Kolar Dt. Submitting a report on the result of the suit.

No. 4301-28/31.3.08.
Encl. one + 2 pls

M. 7. 43-04.

Order thereon. Nil.

The Deputy Commr.

now reports that the Suit
was decided against
the Govt as it was found
that the will under which
the property was bequeathed
for the maintenance of the
temple was not a genuine
document & that the
pleader who conducted
the case for the Govt (Mr
C. B. Gopal Row) is of
opinion that there are no
good grounds for appeal
& that the decision of the
Munsiff being final
the property will have
to be delivered back
to the person in whose favor
the case has been decided.
The Deputy Commr requests
that as the decree holder
has obtained a warrant
for the delivery of the property
~~from the Munsiff's Court, Kolar~~
he may be allowed to redeliver

the property in pursuance of the warrant The Dy Commr also reports that the total cost of the litigation comes to Rs 80 5-0 as per bill received from the pleader that out of this amount a sum of Rs 50 has already been paid to Sri CB Jobal Row. He proposes that the balance ~~may~~ viz Rs 30-5-0 may be paid out of Rs 56-4-2 which is reported to be available at the credit of the Institutions

2- Under the foregoing circumstances the Govt direct that the property be delivered over to the decree holder. The bill for Rs 80-5-0 is also sanctioned ~~thereon~~, the balance of Rs 30-5-0 due thereon

being met from the
Sum of Rs 56-4-2 reported
to be available at the
credit of the Institutions

Secretary
27/3/08

27/3/08

Issue
29/3/

To
The Dy: Commr., Kolar Dt.
returning Enclosures
- Comptroller, Mysore for Dt.